

## Why are Some Concepts in Science and Engineering So Difficult to Learn? Identifying, Assessing, and “Repairing” Student Misunderstanding of Important Concepts

Ruth A. Streveler (CSM), Mary Nelson (CU-Boulder), Barbara M. Olds (CSM), and  
Ronald L. Miller (CSM)

### Articles and books

Bransford, J.D., Brown, A.L., & Cocking, R.R., (Eds.) (2000). *How People Learn*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.

Case, M.C. & Fraser, D.M. (1999). An investigation into chemical engineering students’ understanding of the mole and the use of concrete activities to promote conceptual change. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21(12), 1237-1249.

Chi, M.T.H. & Roscoe, R. R. (2002). The processes and challenges of conceptual change, In M. Limon. & L. Mason (Eds.), *Reconsidering Conceptual Change: Issues in Theory and Practice*. (pp. 3-27). The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

deLeeuw, N. & Chi, M.T.H. (2002). Self-explanation: Enriching a situation model or repairing a domain model? In G. M. Sinatra & P. R. Pintrich, (Eds.), *Intentional Conceptual Change*. (pp. 55-78). Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Eryilmaz, A. (2002). Effects of conceptual assignments and conceptual change discussions on students’ misconceptions and achievement regarding force and motion. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(10), 1001-1015.

Garnett, P.J., & Hackling, M. (1995). Students’ alternative conceptions of chemistry: A review of research and implications for teaching and learning, *Studies in Science Education*, 25, 69.

Hestenes, D., Wells, M., & Swackhamer, G. (1992). Force concept inventory, *The Physics Teacher*, 30, 159-166.

Marek, E.A., Cowen, C.C., & Cavallo, A.M.L. (1986). Student’s misconceptions about diffusion: How can they be eliminated?, *The American Biology Teacher*, 56, 74-77.

Nathan, M., Koedinger, K., & Alibali, M. (2001). Expert blind spot: When content knowledge eclipses pedagogical content knowledge. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle.

Pfundt, H. & Duit, R. (1994). *Bibliography: Students’ Alternative Frameworks and Science Education*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition, Kiel, Germany: Institute for Science Education.

Posner, G. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., & Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Accommodation of a scientific concept: toward a theory of conceptual change,” *Science Education*, 66, 221-227.

Reiner, M., Slotta, J.D., Chi, M.T.H., & Resnick, L.B. (2000). Naive physics reasoning: A commitment to substance-based conceptions,” *Cognition and Instruction*, 18(1), 1-34.

Teichert, M. & Stacy, A.M. (2002). Promoting understanding of chemical bonding and spontaneity through student explanation and integration of ideas. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(6), 464-496.

Volkman, M.J. (1996). The nuts and bolts of chemistry. *The Science Teacher*, January 1996, 37-40.

Wu, H., Krajcik, J.S. & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting understanding of chemical representations: Students’ use of a visualization tool in the classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), 821-842.

### Web sites of interest

CSM Concept Inventory project <http://www.mines.edu/research/cee/Misconceptions.html>

Center for the Advancement of Engineering Education (CAEE) <http://www.engr.washington.edu/caee/>

Field-tested learning assessment guide (FLAG) <http://www.flaguide.org/>

Foundation Coalition Concept Inventories <http://fc1.tamu.edu/home/keycomponents/concept/>