

## Mines Signature Student Experience Faculty Senate Funding 2020/21 Evaluation Rubric for Proposals

In the event that Student Signature Experience proposals submissions exceed the \$500k in funding available from the one-time grant from the Colorado School of Mines Foundation (Foundation), the evaluation rubric below will be utilized by the Faculty Senate to drive conversations regarding selections. The rubric should be regarding as a tool to assist in evaluation and **not the only method** of scoring and evaluating proposals.

RUBRIC	4 to 5 points	2 to 3 points	0 to 1 point
Does the Narrative align with Mines @ 150?	mentions specific aspects of Mines@150 and directly ties proposal to objectives	Objectives are indirectly tied to Mines@150; objective partially aligns with Mines@150	unclear narrative; does not mention any alignment to Mines@150
Primary Uses align with Mines @ 150?	mentions specific aspects of Mines@150 and directly ties proposal to objectives	secondary objectives to Mines@150	unclear primary use; does not mention any alignment to Mines@150
Students Impacted	adequately and accurately describes a significant number of students impacted	mentions student impact; numbers might be inaccurately estimated	lack of clear identification of which students are impacted
Benefit to Students	benefits identified include long-term and short-term objectives	benefits are limited	benefits are suggested; lack definition
Partnerships:	solid partnerships internally and externally with clearly defined roles	Partnerships are identified; partnerships are in development	lack of partnerships or too many partnerships for defined roles
Viability for self-sustaining	Solid commitments from partners or within department for sustainability; challenges are recognized and addresses; resources are identified and available	Suggestion of sustainability providing partners are secured; challenges are identified; resources might be available	Lack of sustainability; inaccurate definition of sustainability; sustainability is not addressed; challenges are not addressed; lack of resources
Expansion, Diversity of Delivery and Scalability	areas of expansion are clearly identified and obtainable, diverse delivery opportunities are achievable and measurable, endurance and scalability of program is clear	opportunities for expansion are identified, delivery has some options, scalability is possible, not necessarily probable	lack of objectives defined or identified
Inclusion of Entrepreneurship &/or Innovation	clear inclusion specific identified objectives, outcomes and benefits	inclusion is suggested; minimal outcomes/benefits	lack of inclusion; or inclusion is stated and lacks benefits
Details of the success metrics and monitoring	metrics are clearly outlined and monitoring is timely and results driven	some metrics and monitoring are identified; success is moderately outlined	metrics and monitoring lack definitions; need for improvement