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Introduction

Building out a robust talent pipeline for the mining sector through providing quality jobs to workers and advancement opportunities (i.e., training, upskilling, and next skilling efforts) will be a central factor for ramping up domestic critical mineral production and strengthening U.S. energy security. Yet, the labor force associated with nonfuel mineral mining in the U.S. has remained roughly stagnate over the last five years (with an average workforce of 140,000 nationally). This aligns with new statistics coming from employers. Currently, 71% of mining companies are reporting experiencing a talent squeeze that is essentially road blocking production targets and strategic goals, with 86% of executives saying it is harder to attract and retain talent now relative to two years ago.¹

Compounding this already tight labor market, analytics from a McKinsey study report major drops in the level of young talent joining the industry – a trend driven largely by the changing nature of work and employee preferences surrounding overall workplace experience. Table 1 showcases this impact and reflects a 39% decrease in U.S. attainment levels associated with mining completions between 2016 and 2020.

If mining areas want to keep up with projected demand for critical mineral output and ease the tension of global energy uncertainty, the shortages linked talent acquisition needs to change – and rapidly. In short, employers are going to have to retranslate how they attract and retain talent in the field.

This paper explores the skills shortage in the U.S. mining sector and considers ways in which employers can bolster employment levels through systematic changes that strengthen workforce conditions. While big shifts in the clean energy workforce will hinge on how quickly career advancement opportunities can be provided to job seekers, we can estimate changes in talent supply based on three transparent measurements that appeal to job seekers. These estimates surround growth projections over the next decade, annual expected job openings, and earning levels capable of providing a livable wage. Together, they translate into jobs that are in high demand with strong potential to grow in upcoming years and pay wages that, at a minimum, cover the cost of living in a particular area. The gap between hires versus openings will depend on how employers respond to providing their workers with jobs that meet this short criteria list.

**Earning A Livable Wage in the Mining Sector**

Average wages linked to critical mineral production workers shot up to $1,200 per week last year, increasing by 15.6 percentage points from 2018 levels. This adds up to a national average of $62,400 in annual earnings. While this boost is associated with a positive change, mining needs higher rewards to attract and retain forecasted job growth. As it stands currently, mining earnings don’t stretch far enough to afford life’s necessary expenses. It’s important to note here that it’s well known that a livable wage (or a “survival wage”) varies significantly based on the cost of living associated with a particular U.S. state or metropolitan area, but on an aggregate level, earnings in this sector just aren’t enough to cover basic needs.

The top and bottom sections of Table 2 report the highest and lowest living wage thresholds for the first quarter of 2023 in the U.S., assuming a family of three (with two adults – one who is working – and one child). The average salary for critical mineral production workers falls short of the bottom lowest living wage areas by a gap of $1,040 – spotlighting dire need for improvement in earnings for these linked occupations.

The middle section of Table 2 reports the minimum living wage floor threshold for popular mining areas in the U.S. (e.g., areas where top U.S. based mining companies are headquartered). Based on USGS national wage estimates associated with the critical mineral job force, eligible workers would be unable to afford the cost of living in any of these metropolitan regions, making it extremely difficult to attract and retain talent to these areas.²

### 2023 Minimum Living Wage Floor Thresholds by MSA, Including Popular Mining Areas in the U.S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Minimum Hourly Living Wage Floor Threshold (Pre-Tax Family Income)</th>
<th>Minimum Annual Living Wage Floor Threshold (Pre-Tax Family Income)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$36.53</td>
<td>$75,982.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$35.70</td>
<td>$74,256.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$33.78</td>
<td>$70,262.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>$33.96</td>
<td>$70,636.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Denver-Aurora-Lakewood</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$38.16</td>
<td>$79,172.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Diego-Carlsbad</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$41.69</td>
<td>$86,715.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York-Newark-Jersey City</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>$39.44</td>
<td>$82,055.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boston-Cambridge-Newton</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>$40.39</td>
<td>$84,011.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$44.63</td>
<td>$92,830.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>$45.95</td>
<td>$95,576.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Living Wage Threshold Data for a Family of Three (2 adults – one working – one child), before taxes, sourced from: [https://livingwage.mit.edu/articles/103-new-data-posted-2023-living-wage-calculator](https://livingwage.mit.edu/articles/103-new-data-posted-2023-living-wage-calculator)
Data on common mining areas in the U.S. sourced from: [https://miningdigital.com/top10/top-10-us-based-mining-companies](https://miningdigital.com/top10/top-10-us-based-mining-companies)

### Labor Market Demand Linked to Critical Mineral Value Chains

It’s safe to say labor market demand for domestic production of critical minerals will reflect high-need/high-demand jobs. If wages can catch up with inflation levels and surpass cost of living estimates by region, these opportunities can be considered “top jobs” – i.e., occupations able to attract and retain talent in critical fields. This will be especially true if employers provide job seekers with clear pathways for career advancement within the field while enhancing overall workplace culture.

At an aggregate level, expectations surrounding the U.S. mining sector as a whole are robust. The most recent forecasts indicate the industry will experience the fastest output growth among all good-producing sectors in the country, reflecting an annual growth rate of 2.2% between now and 2031. This is associated with an additional 63,800 jobs seeking talent across the U.S. during this timeframe. We can assume critical mineral extraction is the central driver bolstering positive projections here, given the significant actions taken by government entities over the last year to enhance America’s critical mineral value chains, as identified in Executive Order 14017.

2022 Legislation Relating to Workforce Development for Critical Minerals

A motivated and trained domestic workforce is the core key component of a robust critical mineral value chain. Recent policy implementation over the past year acknowledges the importance of this as well. Table 3 reports U.S. legislation passed in 2022 to support the build out of critical mineral supply chains and its associated workforce.

### Recent Legislation Passed to Accommodate Labor Squeeze in the Mining Workforce

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislation Title</th>
<th>Month Signed</th>
<th>Allocation Amount</th>
<th>Expected Economic/Workforce Outcomes linked to Critical Minerals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine Supplemental Appropriations Act</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>$600 million</td>
<td>Feasibility studies, byproduct and coproduct extraction, modernization, and productivity improvements, recycling, and reclamation, and industrial resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIPS and Science Act</td>
<td>August 2022</td>
<td>$280 billion</td>
<td>Enhance domestic capability in several areas: reduce the likelihood that shocks abroad might disrupt the supply of semiconductor chips; create domestic jobs; improve international competitiveness; and ensure a secure supply of semiconductors to limit manufacturing vulnerabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation Reduction Act</td>
<td>August 2022</td>
<td>$391 billion</td>
<td>Specifically related to critical minerals, it authorized $391 billion in funding for climate change and domestic energy production. The legislation included targeted tax incentives aimed at manufacturing U.S.-sourced materials such as batteries, electric vehicles, solar, and wind parts and technologies like carbon capture systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Defense Authorization Act</td>
<td>December 2022</td>
<td>$858 billion</td>
<td>Includes a provision requiring a federal strategy be developed to recycle and recover critical minerals from batteries used in the Federal electric vehicle fleet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Supply Chain Security and U.S. Critical Minerals Initiatives for Workforce Expansion Implemented in 2022, Source: USGS Critical Minerals 2023 Report

**Conclusion**

Investments in domestic production and job creation couldn’t have come at a better time, as the worry of dependence on foreign mineral supply has snowballed while the U.S. helplessly watched the rippling impacts across the E.U. spurred by Russia’s invasion of the Ukraine earlier this year. Right now, America finds itself in potentially a similar predicament (history does tend to repeat itself), as over the course of the previous 12 months, the U.S. was 100% net import reliant for 12 of the 50 critical minerals defined by the USGS.

In the shorter term, recently passed legislative acts will help in supplying the talent needed to bring big shifts in domestic critical mineral processing and production, but to retain the workforce needed to build U.S. energy security for the long-term, job quality will also be a major topic at the front of labor market discussions.

---

3 This projection excludes agriculture from good-producing sectors.
This component will fall on the shoulders of employers and will be compounded by an aging population and foreign competition for U.S. talent.

In recent months, there’s been a clear consensus across green energy representatives that certain parts of the world currently strategizing robust labor market plans that work will be remembered throughout history as the winners of the global energy transition. In retrospect, those lacking feasible approaches that strengthen the mining workforce and build out domestic value chains associated with critical mineral production will likely be earmarked in history as the losers who couldn’t catch up to the trailblazers.
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